



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,927	06/28/2007	Ana Maria Garcia Collazo	102901-102	5889
27267	7590	02/18/2010	EXAMINER	
WIGGIN AND DANA LLP ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832 NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Application No. 10/593,927 </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Applicant(s) GARCIA COLLAZO ET AL. </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;"> Examiner SUDHAKAR KATAKAM </td> <td style="padding: 2px;"> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 1621 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/593,927	Applicant(s) GARCIA COLLAZO ET AL.	Examiner SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 1621 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1621	
Application No. 10/593,927	Applicant(s) GARCIA COLLAZO ET AL.						
Examiner SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;"> Art Unit 1621 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1621					
Art Unit 1621							

All Participants:

(1) SUDHAKAR KATAKAM.

(2) Todd Garabedian.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Under Examination

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-7,9,10,12-19

Prior art documents discussed:

WO 01/36365 A2 and (ii) GB 2016010 A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sudhakar Katakam/
Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The following issues were discussed:

- solvate in the claim language,
- applicants attorney agreed to cancel claims 5,6 and 13, if the case is condition of allowance,
- applicants attorney agreed to amend the claim 12,
- examiner mentioned claim 7 is subjected to 112 1st paragraph rejection, since broad claim language of method of treatment,
- applicants agreed to amend the claims, for example, first four lines of claim 1 will be replaced by:
"A compound of formula (I) or it's pharmaceutically acceptable ester, amide, or salt thereof,". Same rule applies to other claims.
- applicants' attorney agreed to delete "of portions of groups" and also "being" from the claim language..